

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,706	06/27/2003	Paul O. Sheppard	97-04D3 4538		
7	7590 06/01/2006		EXAMINER		
CHRISTINE BELLAS AMGEN			ROMEO, DAVID S		
1201 AMGEN COURT WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98119-3105			1647		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/607,706	SHEPPARD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David S. Romeo	1647				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WH - E: af - If - F: Ai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Didensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing three patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lune 2003					
2a)[							
3)[	·						
Ψ)∟	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	ition of Claims	,					
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
7/2	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
,´_ 7)[	<del>_</del>						
8)[2	□    □    □    □    □    □    □						
Applica	ation Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachm	ent(s)						
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	D 152)			
-	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTC	J-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,706

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

25

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, 7-9, and 13-15, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a peptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- II. Claims 4, 10, 16, and 20, drawn to a peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 5,6, 11, 12, 17, 18, 21, and 22, drawn to methods of using a peptide, classified in class 514, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The peptide of group II and polynucleotide of group I are patentably distinct inventions. Peptides, which are composed of amino acids, and polynucleotides, which are composed of purine and pyrimidine units, are structurally distinct molecules; any relationship between a polynucleotide and peptide is dependent upon the information provided by the nucleic acid sequence open reading frame as it corresponds to the primary amino acid sequence of the encoded peptide. While a peptide of group II can made by methods using the polynucleotides that fall within the scope of group I, it can also be made by chemical synthesis. For these reasons, the inventions of groups I and II are patentably distinct. Furthermore, searching the inventions of groups I and II together would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, the search of the peptides and the polynucleotides are not coextensive. The inventions have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequence of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to peptides which would not have described the polynucleotide. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the peptide but spoke to the gene. Searching, therefore is not coextensive. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions together.

Groups I and III are independent and distinct, wherein the products of group I may neither be produced by, nor used in the methods of group III.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,706

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

25

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the peptide can be used as an immunogen for the production of antibodies thereto, as opposed to its use in a method of treatment. Searching the inventions of Groups II and III together would impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups II and III have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Moreover, the search for the peptides and the method of treatment using a peptide are not coextensive. Prior to the concomitant discovery of methods of using the peptide there may be journal articles devoted solely to peptides which would not have described the methods of treatment. The search for group III would require a text search for the method of treatment in addition to a search for the peptide. Prior art which teaches a peptide would not necessarily be applicable to the method of using the peptide. Moreover, even if the peptide product were known, the method of treatment which uses the product may be novel and unobvious in view of the preamble or active steps.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: modulating contractility in duodenum tissue, modulating contractility in jejunum tissue, modulating pancreatic secretion. The species are independent or distinct because the duodenum, jejunum, and pancreas are distinct tissues.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 5,6, 11, 12, 17, 18, 21, and 22 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

25

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

35

30

DSR May 28, 2006

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Romeo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE CENTRAL FAX NUMBER FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE, WHICH IS (571) 273-8300.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

DAVID ROMEO